Gateway spending as a complement to National Park entrance fees

Jeremy Sage, Ph.D, University of Montana Norma Nickerson, Ph.D, University of Montana Zachary Miller, Ph.D, Penn State University Alex Ocanas, MS Student, University of Montana Jennifer Thomsen, Ph.D, University of Montana

- Proposal would have raised fees on:
 - Vehicle pass from about \$30 to \$70
 - Motorcycle pass from about \$25 to \$50
 - Per person from about \$15 to \$30
- NPS estimated that the fee increase would increase revenue by \$70 million annually.
 - 34% increase \$200 million to \$270 million

Park Unit	2016	Current Per Vehicle Fee	Proposed Per	Peak Season	Proportion of
	Annual		Vehicle Peak	Monthe	Annual Visits
	Visits		Season Fee	WOITINS	During Peak
Arches NP	1,585,718	\$25	\$70	May-Sep	64%
Glacier NP	2,946,681	\$30	\$70	May-Sep	92%
Grand Canyon NP	5,969,811	\$30	\$70	May-Sep	58%
Grand Teton NP	3,270,076	\$30	\$70	May-Sep	85%
Joshua Tree NP	2,505,286	\$25	\$70	Jan-May	49%
Olympic NP	3,390,221	\$25	\$70	May-Sep	76%
Yellowstone NP	4,257,177	\$30	\$70	May-Sep	90%

- The NPS projected revenue increase following a price hike implies the belief that demand for park visitation is inelastic.
- In other words, they believe that the percent increase in price is larger than the percent decrease in demand.
 - Are they correct?
 - What else matters?

In 2016, the National Park System recorded 330,971,689 visits, yielding \$18.4 billion in spending in gateway communities

This spending relies heavily on:

- Volume of visitor groups attracted to the park
- Average length of stay of the visitors
- Average daily expenditures of the visitors

Park fees are not based on market interactions =>

- Not a straight forward change in demand to estimate;
- But we can find a proxy for willingness to pay
 - Travel cost
 - Specifically, fuel cost

INSTITUTE FOR TOURISM & RECREATION RESEARCH

Number of Monthly Visits = f(travel cost, population, seasonality, consumer sentiment)

	Visits to all	Visits to Fee	Visits to Non-Fee
variables	National Parks	Increase Parks	Increase Parks
Intercept	1.35	-12.28 ***	2.62 **
Fuel Price (Ln)	-0.14 ***	-0.28 ***	-0.12 ***
Population (Ln)	0.76 ***	1.32 ***	0.70 ***
Consumer Sentiment (Ln)	0.04	0.09 **	0.04

*, **, *** indicate parameters significance at 5%, 1%, and 0.1% respectively.

Park	Coefficient on Fuel Costs
Arches NP	-0.40***
Glacier NP	-0.24 ***
Mount Rainier NP	-0.49 ***
Yellowstone NP	-0.27 ***

Yellowstone Example:

	Current average	Travel cost with	Change in travel
	travel cost	proposed change	costs
Local (ID, MT, WY)	\$106.48	\$146.48	37.6%
Nonlocal (US/CAN)	\$287.92	\$327.92	13.9%
International	\$4,483.54	\$4,523.54	0.9%

Yellowstone Example:

	2016 Visitation estimates	Expected visits under fee change	Change in visits
Local (ID, MT, WY)	382,167	370,760	-2.98%
Nonlocal (US/CAN)	3,095,551	3,061,381	-1.10%
International	343,950	343,706	-0.07%
Total	3,821,668	3,775,847	-1.20%

The -1.2% change represents an annual loss of \$3.4 million to Yellowstone gateways.

- Parks are increasingly being tasked with providing more and more of their budgets from visitor fees.
- Fee increases generate a potentially significant hardship on domestic visitors, particularly lower income families.
- Alternative fees structures in other countries may serve as an example.

INSTITUTE FOR TOURISM & RECREATION RESEARCH